

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,261	04/12/2001		Hans-Michael Kuhl	22750/405A	5004
26646	7590	12/29/2004		EXAMINER	
KENYON		ON	DICUS, TAMRA		
ONE BROA		0004		ART UNIT PAPER NUM	
	·			1774	

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	_			
Advisory Action	09/835,261	KUHL ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tamra L. Dicus	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 21 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension of the fee. The appropriate extensionically set in the final Office action:	ion sion or			
filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7  1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in f the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without cancell</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendmen	t			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been cons	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	will be entered and an or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 5-6</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

According to the MPEP, section 706.02(f)(1) (See flow chart for 102(e) dates), the (US 6,254,956) reference was filed (March 2, 1999), after the Nov. 29, 2000 date. Therefore, the 102(e) date is the 371 date of March 2, 1999. Although Applicant's foreign priority date of July 14, 1998 predates the 102(e) date of the reference, Applicant has not perfected the priority date by filing an English language translation of the German document. Therefore, the 6254956 reference continues to be applicable under 35 USC 102(e) because the 102(e) date of March 2, 1999 predates the present application's effective filing date of June 28, 1999. For this reason the rejection under 35 USC 103 will be upheld until the foreign priority date is perfected.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A, U, (114